Attorney's Docket No.: 34874-062/2003P00267US

Customer Number: 64280

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Serial No.

10/665,979

TC/Art Unit:

2452

Applicant

Peter Surma et al.

Conf. No.

5371

Filing Date

September 19, 2003

Examiner

Hieu T. Hoang

Title

NATIVE FORMAT TUNNELING

Mail Stop ISSUE FEE

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE

In response to the Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due, mailed November 16, 2009, the following is submitted herewith for filing in the above-referenced application: Part B of Form PTOL-85 Fee(s) Transmittal. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge the following fees: \$1816.00 for the issue fee (\$1510.00), publication fee (\$300.00), and fee for two (2) copies of patent (\$6.00).

CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being electronically transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office on the date indicated below in accordance with 37 CFR 1.8(a)(1)(i)(C).

February 12, 2010

Date of Transmission

Signature

Maria Fambro

Typed or Printed Name of Person Signing Certificate

Attorney's Docket No.: 34874-062/2003P00267US

Customer Number: 64280

CONCLUSION

The applicant recognizes that in accordance with M.P.E.P. § 1302.14, the examiner's reasons for

allowance need not set forth all of the details as to why the claims are allowed. In the above-referenced

application, the applicant does not concede that the examiner's stated reasons for allowance are the only

reasons for which the claims are allowable. In particular, the applicant does not concede that all of the

identified limitations are necessary to distinguish the prior art of record or to satisfy the requirements of 35

U.S.C. § 112. In addition, the dependent claims are allowable on their own merits, and are allowable on the

basis of a sub-combination of the recited features of the dependent claims and their respective base claims.

Furthermore, the limitation added to the independent claims by Examiners Amendment to recite

"wherein the markup language corresponds to the extensible markup language (XML)" finds support at least

at paragraph [0021] of the original specification. This limitation does not exclude from the scope of the

independent claims other markup languages that are not specifically XML but rather includes open standard

markup language that correspond to XML at least in one or more of their syntax, operation, and functionality.

If there are any questions regarding these amendments and remarks, the Examiner is encouraged to

contact the undersigned at the telephone number provided below. No additional fees are believed to be due,

however, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees that may be due, or credit any

overpayment of same, to Deposit Account No. 50-0311, Reference No.: 34874-062/2003P00267US.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: February 12, 2010

Michael D. Van Loy

Reg. No. 52,315

Address all written correspondence to:

Customer No. 64280

Mintz, Levin, Cohn, Ferris, Glovsky and Popeo, P.C.

3580 Carmel Mountain Road, Suite 300

San Diego, CA 92130

Phone: (858) 314-1559 Fax: (858) 314-1501

2